CNCGLASS
CNC Ucolin Interlayer Technology Co., Ltd.
SHENZHEN, GD, CHINA
Peter Lin · cnc@cncglass.com · WhatsApp / Mobile +86 15013829504
WeChat wecan365 · www.cncglass.com

EVA Interlayers Compared with Conventional Materials

The comparison that actually matters in energy modules

In photovoltaic manufacturing, EVA became the usual encapsulant because it is clear, bonds well, and survives outdoor weather when it is properly cross-linked. A comparison with older commodity plastics is still useful, because the wrong family is sometimes proposed as a cheaper substitute. The question is not which polymer is fashionable. It is which sheet can keep cells and glass bonded, optically open, and dry for the life of the module.

EVA encapsulant compared with a conventional plastic film

Topic	EVA encapsulant	A conventional film such as PVC
Optical clarity	High, suited to cell and glass stacks	Often lower; aging can haze the path
Weathering	Stable across heat, cold, and humidity when cross-linked	Can embrittle or discolor in the sun
Process	Heat and vacuum or autoclave bonding	Not a structural glass interlayer
Use in modules	The established photovoltaic encapsulant	Not the usual cell encapsulant

Figure 1. A qualitative comparison of an EVA encapsulant with a conventional plastic film such as PVC, for optical path, weathering, process, and module use.

Clarity, weathering, and a simpler process

Optical path is the first difference. A high-clarity EVA sheet lets a large share of sunlight reach the cell. A conventional film such as polyvinyl chloride often starts with lower transmittance and can haze or discolor as it ages in the sun, which steals output. Weathering is the second difference. Cross-linked EVA holds its mechanical and optical character through heat, cold, and humidity far better than a film that becomes brittle or chalky outdoors.

Process is the third. EVA is laminated to glass and cells by heat and vacuum, a route that module lines already understand. A packaging plastic is not a drop-in encapsulant, however familiar it may be in other goods. EVA also has a comparatively straightforward lamination cycle, which supports consistent production when the gel-content target is actually reached.

Environment and safety in service

Module owners now ask how a material is made and what it releases in use. A properly formulated EVA encapsulant is selected so that it does not shed harmful residues in service, and the production route can be managed with ordinary industrial care. Heat and fire behavior should be read from the grade data, not assumed. The practical conclusion is simple: for crystalline-silicon and many related modules, EVA remains the encapsulant against which alternatives are judged. Choose it for the optical and weathering duties it performs, and verify the cycle, rather than substituting a conventional plastic on price alone.

EVA outperforms many conventional films in the duties that a photovoltaic stack actually has: a clear optical path, durable bonding, and weather stability after cross-linking. That is why it remains the standard encapsulant, and why a thoughtful buyer compares documented module grades rather than generic plastic sheets.

Contact Us

Brand: CNCGLASS

Company: CNC Ucolin Interlayer Technology Co., Ltd.
Address: SHENZHEN, GD, CHINA
Contact: Peter Lin
Email: cnc@cncglass.com
WhatsApp / Mobile: +86 15013829504
WeChat: wecan365
Website: www.cncglass.com

We welcome enquiries on EVA encapsulant grades for photovoltaic modules and on how to verify gel content and adhesion after lamination.